CITY COUNCIL TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2017 WORK SESSION ~ FACILITY PLAN UPDATE & PRELIMINARY DESIGN

Mayor Burge called the work session to order at 6:30 p.m.

Present: Mayor Scott Burge, Council President Mark Reed, Councilor Barb Hayden, Councilor Rich Riffle, Councilor Megan Greisen, Councilor Natalie Sanders, City Manager Michael Sykes, Legal Counsel Shelby Rihala, Police Chief Norm Miller, City Recorder Susan Reeves, Treatment Plant Supervisor Kevin Turner, City Engineer Chris Negelspach, and Ben Tolles AmeriCorps RARE Member.

Excused: Councilor Patrick Kessi

City Manager Sykes explained as you may know staff has been working on our Wastewater Master Plan Update and that has led us to identify some immediate improvements that we are going to need to really consider laying the ground work for. He explained tonight is a presentation about some of those improvements and some of the work that we would like to ask the Council for support to move forward on in the Council meeting.

Treatment Plant Supervisor Kevin Turner went over his handout. He explained last year in February staff kicked off the facility plan with the flow testing. He explained staff put some monitoring devices inside some manholes around the City to collect the data and follow that up in the summer with smoke testing to look for illegal connections, which they found some, which lead into Keller's first draft. He explained originally Keller had not included the Urban Growth Boundary, and staff had them go back and look at that. He explained they had all the information reviewed by Carollo Engineering and they had some different ideas. He explained Carollo's flow population numbers were drastically different. Carollo thinks the population of flow will be closer to what Portland State has predicted with their moderate growth. It is on the hand out referenced PSU vs draft. He explained if we go with Carollo to update these figures it is going to push a lot of those improvements beyond the 20 year outlook and save the budget millions of dollars. He explained in the handout he has included their draft 20 year CIP and you can see all the different things. He went over the priority items. He explained with Carollo's proposal they want to model the plant also, which Keller did not do. He explained by doing that it would give us a better look at how the process works. He explained basically this all comes down to Kellers projection of 7.2 million gallons versus the estimate numbers from Carollo which would be closer to 3.9 million gallons per day over those 20 years. He explained their proposal to finish it would be about \$99,000 but it would also include predesign to move us forward towards those priority one improvements.

Wastewater Facility Plan Update and Treatment Plant Predesign

Introduction

- The current *draft* facility plan identifies an estimated \$43 million in capital improvement projects over the next 20 years.
- Moving forward with Carollo Engineering, Inc. proposed review, update, and predesign will save millions in capital improvements over the next 20 years
- Predesign will layout critical improvements needed to serve the current and short term population and flows.

Current Design

- 1.5 Million Gallons per Day (MGD)
 - With everything working correctly and normal concentration the plant should handle this flow
- Peak Design Flow 3.2 MGD
 - Maximum capacity of current pumps and piping

Draft Facility Plan Projected Population and Flow

	2015 Design Flow (MGD)	Projected Unit Flow (gpcd)	Projected Flows [Domestic and Industrial] (MGD)							
Year	2015	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035				
Population	6,745	6,745	9,943	10,924	12,003	13,188				
AADF	0.768	114	1.176 1.777 2.166		2.390					
ADWF	0.660	98	1.015	1.563	1.916	2.114				
AWWF	0.860	127	1.315	1.960	2.382	2.628				
MMDWF ₁₀	0.855	127	1.308	1.952	2.372	2.616				
MMWWF ₅	1.212	180	1.845	2.663	3.207	3.537				
PWkF	1.397	207	2.124	3.032	3.640	4.013				
PDAF ₅	1.850	274	2.805	3.935 4.700		5.181				
PIFs	2.640	391	3.995	5.510	6.549	7.218				

PSU vs. Draft

	Design Flow	Projected Unit	Proj	ected Dome	stic Flows (N	MGD)	City Projected Flows (MGD)				
Year	(MGD)	Flow (gpcd) 2015	(PSU Mode	rate Growt	h Rate Pop. I	(City Estimated Pop. Projections)					
	2015		2020	2025	2030	2035	2035 Domestic	2035 Domestic and Industrial			
Population	6,745	6,745	7,520	8,262	9,078	9,974	13,188	13,188			
AADF	0.768	114	0.856	0.940	1.033	1.135	1.501	2.390			
ADWF	0.660	98	0.736	0.809	0.889	0.977	1.291	2.114			
AWWF	0.860	128	0.959	1.053	1.157	1.271	1.681	2.628			
MMDWF ₁₀	0.855	127	0.954	1.048	1.151	1.265	1.672	2.616			
MMWWFs	1.212	180	1.352	1.485	1.631	1.792	2.370	3.537			
PWkF	1.397	207	1.558	1.711	1.880	2.066	2.732	4.013			
PDAF ₅	1.850	274	2.063	2.266	2.490	2.736	3.617	5.181			
PIF ₅	2.640	391	2.943	3.234	3.553	3.904	5.162	7.218			

Draft Plant Capacity Summary

Component	Capacity ¹ (MGD)	2015 Cap'y Needed (MGD)	2035 Cap'y Needed (MGD)	Comments		
Influent Screen	4.1 (PIF ₅)	2.6	7.2	No redundancy		
Influent Pumps	3.5 (PIF ₅)	2.6	7.2	3 pumps in service (4 th is redundant)		
Influent Pipe	4.0 (PIF ₅)	2.6	7.2			
Influent Measurement	5.7 (PIF ₅)	2.6	7.2	-		
Aeration Basin	1.9 (MMWWF ₅)	1.2	3.5	Basin Integrity (no redundancy)		
Aeration Basin Aerators	1.1 (MMWWF _s)	1.2	3.5	One aerator is redundant		
Secondary Clarifiers	1.0 (MMWWF ₅)	1.2	3.5	No Redundancy with Solids Loading		
RAS Pumps	2.0	1.3	2.6	2 pumps in service (3 rd is redundant)		
RAS Pipe	1.0	1.3	2.6	-		
WAS Pumps	0.2	0.04	0.08	Open/close valves for redundancy		
WAS Pipe	0.2	0.04	0.08	-		
Tertiary Pump Station	2.0 (PIF ₅)	2.6	7.2	Second pump is redundant		
Tertiary Pipe	9.2 (PIF ₅)	2.6	7.2	-		
Tertiary Filters	1.2 (MMWWF ₅)	1.2	3.5	Second filter is redundant		
UV Disinfection	3.1 (PIF ₅)	2.6	7.2	One module redundant		
Effluent Measurement	3.3 (PIF ₅)	2.6	7.2			
Effluent Pumps	3.3 (PIF ₅)	2.6	7.2	3 pumps in service (4 th is redundant)		
Effluent Pipe	4.0 (PIF ₅)	2.6	7.2			

20 Year Draft CIP

	Item	WWTP Flow Trigger	Additional EDUs to	Totai Estimated Cost (2016)		SDC Gro	owth Portion Cost		City's Estimated Portion	
	nem	www.in.higw.tußger	Meet Flow Trigger							
Priority	1 Improvements	and the second second	- Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Ann			(product)		un din d	100	
Waster	water Collection System									
1A.1	New, Relief Trunk Line	'		\$	1,720,000	30%	\$	516,000	\$	1,204,00
1A.2	E Columbia Ave Trunk Line	-		\$	1,290,000	59%	\$	761,000	Ş	529,000
1A.3	SE Tyler St and SE Tussing Wy Trunk Line			\$	630,000	49%	5	309,000	5	321,00
1A.4	SW Em Watts Rd Trunk Line	~~		\$	270,000	35%	\$	95,000	\$	175,000
18	NW Smith Road Trunk Line			\$	160,000	6%	\$	10,000	S	150,000
10	Lift Station Improvements	-		\$	410,000	0%	Ś		\$	410,000
	vater Treatment			1 *	110,000		1.			110,000
1a	Interim Biosolids Plan	Beyond Capacity		15	2,530,000	33%	Ś	843,000	Is	1,687,000
1b	Rehabilitate UV System			5	373,000	15%	\$	55,000	1s	318,000
1c.1	Add 3rd pump to Inter. Pump Station	Beyond Capacity	-	\$	35,000	70%	\$	25,000	\$	10,00
1c.2	Add disks to existing Tertiary Filters	At Capacity		\$	97,000	100%	\$	97,000	\$	-
1d	SCADA System		++	\$	297,000	63%	\$	188,000	15	109,00
1e.1	Aeration for Aeration Basin	Beyond Capacity	ir a	\$	341,000	33%	\$	114,000	\$	227,00
1e.2	Sec. Clarifier and Sludge Bldg. Exp.	Beyond Capacity	57	\$	2,190,000	100%	\$	2,190,000	\$	-
Total P	riority 1 Improvements (rounded)			\$	10,340,000	20.2	\$	5,200,000	\$	5,140,00
Rate In	npact (20 yr, 1.6%)			\$	18.77				\$	9.3
Priority	2 Improvements	CONTRACTOR OF	Service Street	12.0		a series		ALL PROPERTY	155	
Waster	water Collection System						-			
2A	SE 6th St Trunk Line	-		\$	610,000	100%	\$	610,000	5	-
2B	NE Laurel St and NE 3rd St Trunk Line			\$	370,000	100%	\$	370,000	S	-
20	Lift Station Improvements	5.4		5	240,000	26%	5	62,000	S	178,000
	water Treatment		Construction of the International Street of the Internatio		and an and a state of the state					
2a.1	New Aeration Basins	1.9 MGD MMWWF	1.530	s	7,750,000	54%	s	4.173.000	5	3,577,00
28.2	New Aerobic Digester	1.8 MGD MMWWF	1,310	\$	2.020.000	48%	5	966,000	\$	1,054,000
2b.1	Expand Headworks	4.1 MGD PIF5	1,500	\$	3,410,000	63%	<u> </u>	2,163,000	\$	1,247,00
2b.2	Upgrade Influent Pumps	3.5 MGD PIFs	880	\$	928,000	63%	\$	589,000	5	339,00
					and the second se		<u> </u>	the state of the s		
2c.1	Upgrade Effluent Pumps	3.3 MGD PIF ₅	675	\$	833,000	63%	\$	528,000	\$	305,00
2c.2	Increase Effluent Pipe	4.0 MGD PIF ₅	1,400	\$	2,100,000	63%	_	1,332,000	\$	768,00
2d.1	Upgrade Intermediate Pump Station	4.0 MGD PIFs	1,400	\$	455,000	100%	\$	455,000	\$	-
2d.2	Additional Tertiary Filter Unit	1.8 MGD MMWWFs	1,310	\$	877,000	100%	\$	877,000	\$	-
2e	Upgrade UV System	3.1 MGD PIF5	480	\$	1,117,000	63%	\$	709,000	\$	408,00
Total P	riority 2 Improvements (rounded)			15	20,710,000		15	12,830,000	15	7,880,00

20 Year Draft CIP cont.

11546	Item	WWTP How Tripper	Adoptional EDUs to Meat Flow Trigger	Total Estimated Cost (2016)		SDC G	owth Portion		Oty's Estimated	
D. L	1		WIGHT FIRST FRUERIN		GI (2016)			Cost	NE SI IN	Portion
	y 3 Improvements		and the second second	10.03			14.		1	and the second
Waste	water Collection System									
3A	SW Old Portland Rd Trunk Line	-		Ş	280,000	100%	\$	280,000	\$	-
38	SE Tussing Wy Trunk Line		-	\$	50,000	40%	\$	20,000	\$	30,000
Waste	water Treatment									
3a.1	Additional Aeration Basin	2.6 MGD MMWWF5	3,090	\$	3,220,000	100%	Ş	3,220,000	\$	-
3a.2	Additional Secondary Clarifier	3.4 MGD MMWWF5	4,870	\$	1,320,000	100%	\$	1,320,000	\$	
3b.1	Additional Aerobic Digester	2.3 MGD MMWWF5	2,420	\$	1,830,000	100%	\$	1,830,000	\$	
3b.2	Additional Screw Presses	2.3 MGD MMWWFs	2,420	\$	1,684,000	100%	\$	1,684,000	\$	
3c	Plant Water System	-		Ş	208,000	63%	\$	132,000	\$	76,000
Total P	Priority 3 Improvements (rounded)			\$	8,590,000		\$	8,490,000	\$	110,000
Priorit	y 4 Improvements	a carear to the de	and the second second	C.C.	a data da	N. Barris			1.5	1999 (1999) 1999 (1999)
Waste	water Collection System									
4A	P.LS 1, Force Main and Gravity Line	-		\$	660,000	100%	\$	660,000	\$	-
4B	P.LS 2, Force Main and Gravity Line	-		\$	1,160,000	100%	\$	1,160,000	\$	
4C	P.LS 3 and Force Main	-		\$	750,000	100%	\$	750,000	\$	
4D	P.LS 4, Force Main and Gravity Line	-		\$	1,210,000	100%	Ş	1,210,000	\$	
Total F	Priority 4 Improvements (rounded)			\$	3,780,000		\$	3,780,000	\$	MCC.
TOTAL	WASTEWATER IMPROVEMENTS COSTS (r	ounded)	The first and the second	\$ 4	3,420,000	10. Mir	\$	30,300,000	\$	13,130,000

Current Layout



Draft Improvements



Update Scope

City of Scappoose Wastewater Facilities Plan Update and Treatment Plant Predesign Carollo Engineers, Inc. will provide the following services related to the city's wastewater treatment plant with the goals of

- providing the city with an amended planning document that identifies and details necessary WWTP capital improvements over the 20-year planning period,
- providing the City with a state-approvable facilities plan that satisfies all requirements for the City applying for State Revolving Fund financing for near term improvements, and
- providing a predesign of the capital improvements that the City needs to complete in the nearterm (first five years).

Project Schedule: The scope of work The Final Facility Plan Update and Treatment Plant Predesign is scheduled to be completed within 4 months following the Notice to Proceed.

Project Fee: The total fee for the scope of work presented is a lump sum cost not to exceed \$99,466.

Recent Flows

- November 2016
 - Max daily flow 2.632 MGD, 25th
 - Peak instantaneous flow 3.2 MGD (2222 gallons per minute)
- January 2017
 - Average daily flow 1.168 MG
 - Max daily flow 2.191 MG
 - Peak instantaneous flow 2.8 MG (1944 gallons per minute)
- February 2017 past two weeks
 - Average daily flow 1.478 MG
 - Max daily flow 1.950 MG
 - Peak instantaneous flow 2.7 MG (1875 gallons per minute)

Treatment Plant Supervisor Kevin Turner explained staff wanted to bring this to Council in a work session in case there were some questions.

City Manager Sykes stated he thinks it is important to note that the Wastewater Master Plan is dated 1998, and basically we have to update that to get any grants or loans from DEQ. He explained the amount proposed by Keller just didn't seem like a reasonable number so staff decided that it was really important to get somebody in here who has a good reputation in the industry and so they ended up picking Carollo.

Councilor Hayden stated there is such a difference in the population estimates going forward, it is incredible.

Mayor Burge stated he thinks part of this is they are supposed to use the PSU numbers.

City Manager Sykes explained it is important to make sure we have our System Development Charges inline so when new development comes in we can charge proportionally and can capitalize on that opportunity.

Council thanked Kevin.

Adjournment ~ Mayor Burge adjourned the Work Session at 6:55 p.m.

Scott Burge, Mayor

Susan M. Reeves, MMC, City Recorder