#### **Resolution No. 15-24**

#### A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE CITY OF SCAPPOOSE'S SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGES FOR WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND TREATMENT SERVICES

WHEREAS, the City of Scappoose System Development Charge (SDC) Code (Scappoose Municipal Code (SMC) Chapter 13.24), provides for the establishing of SDCs upon completion of an analysis of capital improvements already constructed and projected capital improvements to be constructed and adoption of a methodology explaining how the SDCs are calculated; and,

**WHEREAS**, the SMC Chapter 13.24.030 (B) specifies that such charges shall be set by separate Resolution of the Scappoose City Council following a public hearing; and,

WHEREAS, the Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) provide the framework for establishing an SDC, and for notification and public hearing of the City of Scappoose's intent to impose SDCs; and,

WHEREAS, the Scappoose City Council has adopted a wastewater capital improvement plan update (Project Delivery Group, July, 2015) which includes a list of completed and proposed capital improvements which affect SDCs; and,

WHEREAS, the City's current schedule of wastewater SDCs only consists of an improvement fee; and,

WHEREAS, the City concludes it is appropriate to charge a wastewater reimbursement fee in addition to the improvement fee; and,

WHEREAS, the City has prepared the enclosed methodology and schedule of SDCs by meter size (Wastewater System Development Charge Update, July, 2015, Donovan Enterprises, Inc.); and,

WHEREAS, Pursuant to ORS 223.304 (6) & (7), public notice was given of the City of Scappoose's intent to change the methodology for calculating System Development Charges (SDCs) for wastewater collection and treatment services on August 7, 2015, including by publication in the *South County Spotlight* newspaper. The City gave written notice to interested persons on August 5, 2015. The methodology was available on the City's website on September 11, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the Scappoose City Council has determined the methodology and rates hereinafter specified and established are just, reasonable, and necessary.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,

Section 1: Amendment and updating of wastewater SDCs. In accordance with SMC Chapter 13.24, this Resolution establishes the methodology and provides the basis for a wastewater SDC that consists of a reimbursement and improvement fee.

Section 2: Scope of amendment and update of wastewater SDCs. The SDCs established by this Resolution are separate from, and in addition to, any other applicable taxes, fees, assessments, or charges, including but not limited to SDCs, which are required by the City of Scappoose or represent a condition of a land use or development approval.

Section 3: Methodology. The methodology for the wastewater SDCs is described in the attached Exhibit "A" and, by this reference, hereby made a part of this Resolution.

Section 4: Fees and charges. The City amends and updates its wastewater SDCs as follows:

| City of Scappoose<br>Schedule of Proposed Residential Wastewater System Development Charges<br>Wastewater SDC Update - 2015 |             |             |               |                 |                   |        |  |  |  |
|---|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|--|--|--|
|   | AWWA Rated  | Flow Factor | Pr            | oposed Schedule | of Wastewater SDC | ls -   |  |  |  |
| Meter Size  | Flow (GPM)* | Equivalence | Reimbursement | Improvement     | Administration    | Total  |  |  |  |
| 0.75 Inch   | 30          | 1.00        | 801           | 3,255           | 203               | 4,25   |  |  |  |
| 1.00 inch   | 50          | 1.67        | 1,335         | 5,425           | 338               | 7,09   |  |  |  |
| 1.50 inch   | 100         | 3.33        | 2,670         | 10,849          | 676               | 14,19  |  |  |  |
| 2.00 inch   | 160         | 5.33        | 4,272         | 17,359          | 1,082             | 22,71  |  |  |  |
| 3.00 inch   | 320         | 10.67       | 8,544         | 34,718          | 2,163             | 45,42  |  |  |  |
| 4.00 inch   | 500         | 16.67       | 13,349        | 54,246          | 3,380             | 70,97  |  |  |  |
| 6.00 inch   | 1000        | 33.33       | 26,699        | 108,492         | 6,760             | 141,95 |  |  |  |
| 8.00 inch   | 1600        | 53.33       | 42,718        | 173,588         | 10,815            | 227,12 |  |  |  |

\* Recommended maximum rate for continuous operations; per American Water Works Association standards effective January 1, 2003 for cold water meters- displacement type, bronze main case. ANSI approval October 11, 2002. American Water Works Association ANSI/AWWA C700-02 (Revision of ANSI/AWWA C700-95).

Section 5: Effective Date. This Resolution shall become effective upon its adoption by the Scappoose City Council.

Section 6: Review. This Resolution may be reviewed at the pleasure of the City Council, and the rates may be amended as appropriate.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** by the City Council this 16<sup>st</sup> day of November, 2015 and signed by the Mayor and City Recorder in authentication of its passage.

Attest:

Susan M Reeves, MMC, City Recorder

**CITY OF SCAPPOOSE, OREGON** 

Scott Burge, Mayor

Resolution 15-24

# EXHIBIT "A"

# Wastewater System Development Charge Update Final Report

# July, 2015

Donovan Enterprises, Inc.

Resolution 15-24

Presented by:



July

D D N D V A N enterprises, inc.

# 2015

# Wastewater System Development Charge Update

Final Report

Prepared for:



Donovan Enterprises, Inc. 9600 SW Oak Street, Suite 335 Tigard, Oregon 97223-6596 203.517.0671 www.donovan-enterprises.com

# **City of Scappoose**

# 2015 Wastewater SDC Methodology Update

Table of Contents

| Introduction1   |
|---|
| SDC Legal Authorization2  |
| SDC Methodology   |
| Reimbursement Fee Methodology4  |
| Improvement Fee Methodology5  |
| Methodology for the Granting of Credits, Exemptions, Discounts, and Indexing7 |
| SDC Credits Policy7   |
| Partial and Full SDC Exemptions Policy7                                       |
| SDC Discount Policy   |
| Conclusions and Recommendations8  |
| Wastewater SDC Calculations 10  |
| Existing and Future Wastewater Demands10                                      |
| Wastewater Reimbursement Fee Calculations11                                   |
| Allocation of Wastewater Reuse Project Costs to Funding Sources               |
| Wastewater Improvement Fee Calculations14                                     |
| Proposed Schedule of Wastewater SDCs15  |



#### Introduction

The City of Scappoose conducts periodic updates to its Comprehensive Plan and its various Public Facility Plans to provide orderly and sustainable growth of local roads, water, sewer, stormwater, and parks. A key component to funding these public facilities is the system development charge (SDC) program. SDCs are one-time charges for new development—designed to recover the costs of infrastructure capacity needed to serve new development. This section describes the policy context and project scope upon which the body of this report is based. It concludes with a non-numeric overview of the calculations presented in subsequent sections of this report.

The city's current schedule of SDCs for wastewater were last reviewed in October of 2006. In May, of 2015, the City undertook the task of updating the capital improvement plan (CIP) for the wastewater system. With the preparation/adoption of the new wastewater CIP, the City commissioned this update of its wastewater SDCs to get the methodology and rates current. With this review and update, the City has stated a number of objectives:

- Review the basis for wastewater charges to ensure a consistent methodology;
- Address specific policy, administrative, and technical issues which had arisen from application of the existing wastewater SDCs;
- Determine the most appropriate and defensible fees, ensuring that development is paying its way;
- Consider possible revisions to the structure or basis of the charges which might improve equity or proportionality to demand;
- Provide clear, orderly documentation of the assumptions, methodology, and results, so that City staff could, by reference, respond to questions or concerns from the public.

This report provides the documentation of that effort, and was done in close coordination with City staff and available facilities planning documents. The wastewater SDC update complies with City municipal code section 13.24.

Table 1 gives a component breakdown for the current and proposed residential equivalent SDCs for wastewater. Appendix A to this report shows the detailed calculations that were used to arrive at the proposed SDCs for wastewater collection and treatment services.

Table 1 - Component Breakdown of the Proposed Residential Equivalent Wastewater SDC

| City of So<br>Comparison of Current and Propos<br>For a Standard Resi<br>Wastewater SD | sed<br>der | Wastewater S<br>ntial 3/4" Mete | by Fee Type |            |
|--|------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Line Item Description  |            | Proposed                        | Current     | Difference |
| Reimbursement Element  | \$         | 801                             | \$<br>-     | \$<br>80   |
| Improvement Element  |            | 3,255                           | 2,367       | 88         |

The framework for SDC calculation is established by Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 223.297-314 which is the basis for this review. Under ORS 223.299, SDC's are defined as one-time fees imposed on new development and have two components: reimbursement and improvement.

Ś

203

Ś

4.259

118

Ś

2,486

The reimbursement fee considers the cost of existing facilities, prior contributions by existing users of those facilities, the value of the unused/available capacity, and generally accepted ratemaking principles. The objective is future system users contribute no more than an equitable share to the cost of existing facilities. The reimbursement fee can be spent on capital costs or debt service related to the systems for which the SDC is applied.

The improvement fee portion of the SDC is based on the cost of planned future facilities that expand the system's capacity to accommodate growth or increase its level of performance. In developing an analysis of the improvement portion of the fee for wastewater, each project in the respective service's capital improvement plan is evaluated to exclude costs related to correcting existing system deficiencies or upgrading for historical lack of capacity. An example is a facility which improves system capacity to better serve current customers. The costs for this type of project must be eliminated from the improvement fee calculation. Only capacity increasing/level of performance costs provide the basis for the SDC calculation. The improvement SDC is calculated as a function of the estimated number of additional equivalent residential units to be served by the City's facilities over the planning period. Such a fee represents the greatest potential for future SDC changes.

As shown in Table 1, The City's current wastewater SDC methodology only includes an improvement fee component, meaning that SDC revenue collection can only be used to fund capacity-increasing projects – no revenue will be available to fund replacement projects. An update to the methodology to include a reimbursement component could alleviate the need for some future rate increases. We recommend the City revise its current wastewater SDC methodology to include a reimbursement fee component.

## SDC Legal Authorization

Administration @ 5%

**Total Wastewater SDC** 

SDCs are authorized by Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 223.297-314. The statute is specific in its definition of system development charges, their application, and their accounting. In general, an SDC is a one-time fee imposed on new development or expansion of existing development, and assessed at the time of development approval or increased usage of the system. Overall, the statute is intended to promote equity between new and existing customers by recovering a proportionate share of the cost of existing

801

888

84

1,773

and planned/future capital facilities that serve the developing property. Statute further provides the framework for the development and imposition of SDCs and establishes that SDC receipts may only be used for capital improvements and/or related debt service.

The methodology used to determine the improvement fee portion of the SDC must consider the cost of projected capital improvements needed to increase system capacity or level of performance. In other words, the cost of planned projects that correct existing deficiencies or do not otherwise increase capacity would not be SDC eligible. The improvement fee must also provide a credit for construction of a qualified public improvement.

Finally, two cost basis adjustments are potentially applicable to both reimbursement and improvement fees: fund balance and compliance costs.

Fund Balance - To the extent that SDC revenue is currently available in fund balance, that revenue should be deducted from its corresponding cost basis. For example, if the city has wastewater improvement fees that it has collected but not spent, then those unspent improvement fees should be deducted from the wastewater system's improvement fee cost basis to prevent charging twice for the same capacity.

Compliance Costs - ORS 223.307(5) authorizes the expenditure of SDCs on "the costs of complying with the provisions of ORS 223.297 to 223.314, including the costs of developing system development charge methodologies and providing an annual accounting of system development charge expenditures." To avoid spending monies for compliance that might otherwise have been spent on growth-related projects, this report includes an estimate of compliance costs in its SDCs. All estimates of compliance costs in this report are based on historical transfers from the appropriate SDC fund to the General Fund.

#### SDC Methodology

The essential ingredient in the development of an SDC methodology for wastewater services is valid sources of data. For this project, the consultant team has relied on a number of data sources. The primary sources have been the adopted wastewater system master plan and plan updates for these municipal facilities. We have supplemented these data sources with City utility billing records, certified census data, and other documents that we deemed helpful, accurate, and relevant to this study. Table 2 contains a bibliography of the key documents/sources that we relied upon to facilitate our analysis and hence the resulting SDCs.

#### Table 2 - Data Sources for the Calculation of Wastewater SDC

| Service    | Master Plan Document and/or Corroborating Source Documentation  |
|------------|---|
| Wastewater | • Wastewater Master Plan for the City of Scappoose; June, 1998; KCM, Inc.   |
|            | <ul> <li>2015 Scappoose Wastewater Facilities Plan Amendment and Capital<br/>Improvement Plan Update; July, 2015; Project Delivery Group</li> </ul>                 |
|            | <ul> <li>Scappoose wastewater system fixed asset schedule; June 30, 2015; City records</li> </ul>   |
|            | <ul> <li>City of Scappoose Utility Billing System – wastewater system active accounts<br/>and Equivalent Dwelling Units in service report; June 20, 2015</li> </ul> |
|            | <ul> <li>Portland State University, College of Urban Affairs, Population Research<br/>Center; Certified census for Scappoose, Oregon; June, 2015</li> </ul>         |

#### **Reimbursement Fee Methodology**

The reimbursement fee represents a buy-in to the cost, or value, of infrastructure capacity within the existing system. Generally, if a system were adequately sized for future growth, the reimbursement fee might be the only charge imposed, since the new customer would be buying existing capacity. However, staged system expansion is needed, and an improvement fee is imposed to allocate those growth related costs. Even in those cases, the new customer also relies on capacity within the existing system, and a reimbursement component is warranted.

In order to determine an equitable reimbursement fee to be used in conjunction with an improvement fee, two points should be highlighted. First, the cost of the system to the City's customers may be far less than the total plant-in-service value. This is due to the fact that elements of the existing system may have been contributed, whether from developers, governmental grants, and other sources. Therefore, the net investment by the customer/owners is less. Second, the value of the existing system to a new customer is less than the value to an existing customer, since the new customer must also pay, through an improvement fee, for expansion of some portions of the system.

The method used for determining the reimbursement fee accounts for both of these points. First, the charge is based on the net investment in the system, rather than the gross cost. Therefore, donated facilities, typically including collection (wastewater) lines, local facilities, and grant-funded facilities, would be excluded from the cost basis. Also, the charge should be based on investments clearly made by the current users of the system, and not already supported by new customers. Tax supported activities fail this test since funding sources have historically been from general revenues, or from revenues which emanate, at least in part, from the properties now developing. Second, the cost basis is allocated between used and unused capacity, or, capacity available to serve growth. In the absence of a detailed asset by asset analysis, it is appropriate to allocate the cost of existing facilities between used and available capacity proportionally based on the forecasted population growth as converted to equivalent dwelling units over the planning period. This approach reflects the philosophy, consistent with the City's Updated Master Plans, that facilities have been sized to meet the demands of the customer base within the established planning period.



#### **Improvement Fee Methodology**

There are three basic approaches used to develop improvement fee SDCs: "standards driven", "improvements-driven", and "combination/hybrid" approaches. The "standards-driven" approach is based on the application of Level of Service (LOS) standards for facilities. Facility needs are determined by applying the LOS standards to projected future demand, as applicable. SDC-eligible amounts are calculated based on the costs of facilities needed to serve growth. This approach works best where level of service standards have been adopted but no specific list of projects is available. The "improvementsdriven" approach is based on a specific list of planned capacity increasing capital improvements. The portion of each project that is attributable to growth is determined, and the SDC-eligible costs are calculated by dividing the total costs of growth-required projects by the projected increase in projected future demand, as applicable. This approach works best where a detailed master plan or project list is available and the benefits of projects can be readily apportioned between growth and current users. Finally, the combination/hybrid-approach includes elements of both the "improvements driven" and "standards-driven" approaches. Level of Service standards may be used to create a list of planned capacity-increasing projects, and the growth required portions of projects are then used as the basis for determining SDC eligible costs. This approach works best where levels of service have been identified and the benefits of individual projects are not easily apportioned between growth and current users.

In the past, the City has utilized the "improvements-driven" approach for the calculation of wastewater SDCs. This study continues to use this method, and has relied on the capital improvement plans that are incorporated in the master plans, and plan updates for wastewater collection and treatment services.

For this SDC methodology update, the improvement fee represents a proportionate share of the cost to expand the systems to accommodate growth. This charge is based on the capital improvement plans established by the City in the master plans for wastewater services. The costs that can be applied to the improvement fees are those that can reasonably be allocable to growth. Statute requires that the capital improvements used as a basis for the charge be part of an adopted capital improvement schedule, whether as part of a system plan or independently developed, and that the improvements included for SDC eligibility be capacity or level of service expanding. The improvement fee is intended to protect existing customers from the cost burden and impact of expanding a system that is already adequate for their own needs in the absence of growth.

The key step in determining the improvement fee is identifying capital improvement projects that expand the system and the share of those projects attributable to growth. Some projects may be entirely attributable to growth, such as a wastewater collection line that exclusively serves a newly developing area. Other projects, however, are of mixed purpose, in that they may expand capacity, but they also improve service or correct a deficiency for existing customers. An example might be a wastewater pump station that both expands wastewater collection system capacity and corrects a chronic capacity issue for existing users. In this case, a rational allocation basis must be defined.

The improvement portion of the SDC is based on the proportional approach toward capacity and cost allocation in that only those facilities (or portions of facilities) that either expand the wastewater system capacity to accommodate growth or increase its respective level of performance have been included in the cost basis of the fee. As part of this SDC update, City Staff and their engineering consultants were asked to review the planned capital improvement lists in order to assess SDC eligibility. The criteria in Figure 1 were developed to guide the City's evaluation:

#### Figure 1 - SDC Eligibility Criteria

#### City of Scappoose

#### **Steps Toward Evaluating**

#### Capital Improvement Lists for SDC Eligibility

#### ORS 223

1. Capital improvements mean the facilities or assets used for :

- a. Wastewater collection, transmission, treatment, and disposal
- This definition DOES NOT ALLOW costs for operation or routine maintenance of the improvements;
- 2. The SDC improvement base shall consider the cost of projected capital improvements needed to increase the capacity of the systems to which the fee is related;
- 3. An increase in system capacity is established if a capital improvement increases the "level of performance or service" provided by existing facilities or provides new facilities.

Under the City' approach, the following rules will be followed

- 1. Repair costs are not to be included;
- 2. Replacement costs will not be included unless the replacement includes an upsizing of system capacity and/or the level of performance of the facility is increased;
- 3. New regulatory compliance facility requirements fall under the level of performance definition and should be proportionately included;
- 4. Costs will not be included which bring deficient systems up to established design levels.

In developing the improvement fee, the project team in consultation with City staff evaluated each of its CIP projects to exclude costs related to correcting existing system deficiencies or upgrading for historical lack of capacity. Only capacity increasing/level of performance costs were used as the basis for the SDC calculation, as reflected in the capital improvement schedules developed by the City. The improvement fee is calculated as a function of the estimated number of projected additional Equivalent Residential Units for wastewater to be served by the City's facilities over the planning horizon.

Once the future costs to serve growth have been segregated (i.e., the numerator), they can be divided into the total number of new EDUs that will use the capacity derived from those investments (i.e., the denominator).

#### Methodology for the Granting of Credits, Exemptions, and Discounts

#### **SDC Credits Policy**

ORS 223.304 requires that credit be allowed for the construction of a "qualified public improvement" which is required as a condition of development approval, is identified in the Capital Improvement Plan, and either is not located on or contiguous to property that is the subject of development approval, or is located on or contiguous to such property and is required to be built larger or with greater capacity than is necessary for the particular development project. The credit for a qualified public improvement may only be applied against an SDC for the same type of improvement, and may be granted only for the cost of that portion of an improvement which exceeds the minimum standard facility size or capacity needed to serve the particular project. For multi-phase projects, any excess credit may be applied against SDCs that accrue in subsequent phases of the original development project. In addition to these required credits, the City may, if it so chooses, provide a greater credit, establish a system providing for the transferability of credits, provide a credit for a capital improvement not identified in the Capital Improvement Plan, or provide a share of the cost of an improvement by other means.

The City has adopted a policy for granting SDC credits, and has codified this policy in the Scappoose Municipal Code (SMC) §13.24.110. The adopted SDC credit policy consists of four (4) items as follows:

- A. The city may grant a credit against the SDC, which is otherwise assessed for a new development, for any qualified public improvements(s) constructed or dedicated as part of that new development. The applicant bears the burden of evidence and persuasion in establishing entitlement to an SDC credit and to a particular value of SDC credit. The credit provided shall be only for the improvement fee charged for the type of improvement being constructed and shall not exceed the improvement fee even if the cost of the capital improvement exceeds the applicable improvement fee.
- B. A change in use results whenever a building permit is issued to expand an existing structure or construct a new structure on a parcel of land which had an established use of all facilities upon the effective date of the ordinance codified in this chapter. When such a change of use occurs, a system development charge is imposed, but credit shall be given for all systems charge portions of the computed system development charge in an amount equal to what would otherwise be the charge for the existing structure and use. The credit so computed shall not exceed the calculated systems development charge. No refund shall be made on account of such credit.
- C. Credit shall not be transferable from one development to another, but may be transferred from one phase of a development to another phase of the same development. Credits shall be used within a period of ten years form the date the credit is given.
- D. Credit shall not be transferable from one type of capital improvement to another.

#### Partial and Full SDC Exemptions Policy

The City may exempt certain types of development, from the requirement to pay SDCs. Exemptions reduce SDC revenues and, therefore, increase the amounts that must come from other sources, such as user fees and property taxes. As in the case of SDC credits, the City has articulated a policy relative to partial and full SDC exemption. This SDC exemption policy is codified in SMC §13.24.100, and is as follows:

- A. Additions to single-family dwellings that do not constitute the addition of a dwelling unit, as defined by the State Uniform Building Code, are exempt from all portions of the system development charge.
- B. An alteration, addition, replacement or change in use that does not increase the parcel's or structure's use of the public improvement facility are exempt from all portions of the system development charge.
- C. A project financed by city revenues is exempt from all portions of the system development charge.

#### **SDC Discount Policy**

The City, at its sole discretion may discount the SDC rates by choosing not to charge a reimbursement fee for excess capacity, or by reducing the portion of growth-required improvements to be funded with SDCs. A discount in the SDC rates may also be applied on a pro-rata basis to any identified deficiencies, which must to be funded from sources other than improvement fee SDCs. The portion of growth-required costs to be funded with SDCs must be identified in the CIP. Because discounts reduce SDC revenues, they increase the amounts that must come from other sources, such as user fees or general fund contributions, in order to acquire the facilities identified in the Updated Master Plan

#### **Conclusions and Recommendations**

The 2015 wastewater SDC methodology update was done in accordance with SMC Chapter 13.24, and with the benefit of adopted master plans and plan updates for wastewater services. We recommend the City update the SDC charge and methodology to reflect the current capital improvement program and to incorporate a reimbursement fee component. This will provide additional revenues to help fund the utility's future capital needs.

Our analysis indicates the City can charge a maximum of \$4,259 for wastewater. This figure is on a per EDU basis. A comparison of the proposed and current wastewater SDCs for the average single family residential customer is shown below in Figure 2.



Figure 2 - Proposed and Current Wastewater SDCs for an Average Single Family Residential Customer

# Appendix A SDC Calculations

2015 Wastewater SDC Methodology Update



## Wastewater SDC Calculations

# **Existing and Future Wastewater Demands**

| C<br>System Developn<br>Forecast of Future    |      | e Study - 2015 | 2      |          |       |
|---|------|----------------|--------|----------|-------|
|   |      | June 30,       | 2015   | June 30, | 2035  |
| Class and Customer Description                | Code | Accounts       | EDUs   | Accounts | EDUs  |
| Single family residential                     |      |                |        |          |       |
| Inside city single family and Multifamily     | 10   | 2,179          | 2,698  | 3,301    | 4,087 |
| No water/sewer only                           | 19   | 13             | 13     | 20       | 20    |
| Subtotal residential                          |      | 2,192          | 2,711  | 3,321    | 4,107 |
| Commercial                                    |      |                |        |          |       |
| Restaurants                                   |      |                |        |          |       |
| Open less than 24 hours                       | 40   | 7              | 15     | 11       | 23    |
| Open 24 hours                                 | 41   | 2              | 2      | 3        | 3     |
| Located along freeway                         | 42   | 2              | 2      | 3        | 3     |
| Fast food                                     | 43   | 8              | 8      | 12       | 12    |
| Vending                                       | 44   |                |        |          |       |
| Beer parlors                                  | 45   |                |        |          |       |
| Institutions                                  |      |                |        |          |       |
| Care centers                                  | 50   | 6              | 6      | 9        | 9     |
| Churches                                      | 51   | 4              | 4      | 6        | 6     |
| Elementary school w/o showers or cafeteria    | 52   | -              | -      | -        | -     |
| Elementary school with cafeteria              | 53   | 2              | 3      | 3        | 5     |
| Elementary school with cafeteria & showers    | 54   | 1              | 1      | 2        | 2     |
| High school w/o showers or cafeteria          | 55   | 3              | 3      | 5        | 5     |
| High school with cafeteria                    | 56   | -              | -      | -        | _     |
| High school with cafeteria & showers          | 57   | 1              | 2      | 2        | 3     |
| Markets                                       |      |                |        |          |       |
| Grocery only                                  | 60   |                |        | -        | -     |
| Grocery with meat or fish butcher             | 61   | 2              | 2      | 3        | 3     |
| Grocery with deli                             | 62   | 1              | 1      | 2        | 2     |
| Services                                      | 02   | -              | -      | -        | -     |
| Barber & beauty shops                         | 70   | 7              | 11     | 11       | 17    |
| Medical & dental offices                      | 70   | 6              | 6      | 9        | 9     |
| Professional offices                          | 72   | 22             | 25     | 33       | 38    |
| Car Wash                                      | 72   | 2              | 25     | 3        | 3     |
| Dry cleaners                                  | 73   | 1              | 1      | 2        | 2     |
| -   | 74   | 1              | 1      | 2        | 2     |
| Self service laundry                          | 75   | 1              | 1      | 2        | 2     |
| Engravers                                     | 78   | 2              | 2      | 3        | 3     |
| Body & paint shops                            | 78   | 3              | 4      | 5        | E     |
| Auto repairs                                  | 78   | 5              | 4      | 5        | E     |
| Service station                               | 19   | 4              | 4      | 0        | C     |
| Manufacturers                                 | 80   | 1              | 1      | 2        | -     |
| Factories with showers (w/o industrial waste) | 80   |                |        | 2        | 2     |
| Factories w/o showers (w/o industrial waste)  | 81   | 4              | 4<br>4 | 6<br>6   | 6     |
| Warehouse (w/o industrial waste)              | 82   | 4              | 4      | b        | e     |
| Retail shops                                  | 60   |                | 44     | 4-       |       |
| Retailers                                     | 90   | 11             | 11     | 17       | 17    |
| Subtotal Commercial                           |      | 108            | 126    | 168      | 195   |
| System Total                                  |      | 2,300          | 2,837  | 3,489    | 4,302 |

Compound annualized population growth rate Planning horizon in years 2.098% 20

#### **Wastewater Reimbursement Fee Calculations**

#### City of Scappoose System Development Charge Study - 2015 Update Wastewater Reimbursement Charge Calculations Data as of Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

|   |     |                         | Ac | cumulated               |    |            |
|---|-----|-------------------------|----|-------------------------|----|------------|
|   | Ori | ginal Cost <sup>1</sup> | De | preciation <sup>1</sup> | Bo | ook Value  |
| Book value of water utility plant-in-service:   |     |                         |    |                         |    |            |
| Land, Dedications, and Easements  | \$  | 102,570                 | \$ | -                       | \$ | 102,570    |
| Buildings and Improvements  |     | 3,418,960               |    | 1,809,223               |    | 1,609,737  |
| Equipment   |     | 821,776                 |    | 762,190                 |    | 59,586     |
| Vehicles  |     | 85,842                  |    | 83,860                  | 6  | eliminated |
| Collection System   |     | 4,640,451               |    | 2,093,135               |    | 2,547,316  |
| Total book value of wastewater utility plant-in-service                               | ¢   | 9,069,600               | -  | 4,748,409               | Ś  | 4,319,209  |
| Total book value of wastewater attinty plane in service                               | Ŷ   | 5,005,000               | Ŷ  | 4,740,405               | Ŷ  | 4,515,205  |
| Eliminating entries:<br>Principal outstanding on long term debt and contracts payable |     |                         |    |                         |    |            |
| US Bank JP West Road sewer line relocation - December 5, 2013 <sup>2</sup>            |     |                         |    |                         |    | 600,000    |
| DEQ CWSRF No. R06809 - September 14, 2009 <sup>2</sup>                                |     |                         |    |                         |    | 273,441    |
| Developer contributions and grants (net of amortization)                              |     |                         |    |                         |    | -          |
| Total eliminating entries   |     |                         |    |                         |    | 873,441    |
| Total enfimating entites  |     |                         |    |                         |    | 073,441    |
| Net basis in utility plant-in-service available to serve future customers             |     |                         |    |                         | \$ | 3,445,768  |
| Estimated existing and future Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDUs)                        |     |                         |    |                         |    | 4,302      |
| Calculated Reimbursement Fee - \$/EDU   |     |                         |    |                         | \$ | 801        |
|   |     |                         |    | 0) en 100 - 2 -         |    |            |

Source: City of Scappoose Records

<sup>5</sup> Source: City of Scappoose Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)

Allocation of Wastewater Reuse Project Costs to Funding Sources

|            |              | Allocation of Recomm                 | nended Wastew            | System De | y of Scappoose<br>velopment Cha<br>n, Pumping, and | rge Study - 20  |             | Projected Fun | ding Sources <sup>1</sup> |                   |                |             |          |
|------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|-----------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|----------|
| Cost in FY | Construction |                                      |                          |           | Fu   | Inding Source - | %           |               |                           | Fu                | nding Source   | -\$         | THEFT    |
|            |              |                                      |                          | Existing  | Future   | Benefited       | Contributed |               | Existing                  | Future            | Benefited      | Contributed |          |
| 2015       | Fiscal Year  | Project                              | Future Cost <sup>2</sup> | Customers | Customers  | Properties      | Capital     | Total         | Customers                 | Customers         | Properties     | Capital     | Total    |
|            |              | Collection System Piping Upgrades    |                          |           |  |                 |             |               | Net 1 ali                 | South Contraction | and the second |             |          |
| 1,347,023  | 2020         | 1 North Interceptor                  | 1,561,569                | 32.00%    | 68.00%   | 0.00%           | 0.00%       | 100.00%       | 499,702                   | 1,061,867         |                | -           | 1,561,56 |
| 336,756    | 2026         | 2 West Interceptor                   | 466,149                  | 50.00%    | 50.00%   | 0.00%           | 0.00%       | 100.00%       | 233,074                   | 233,074           | -              |             | 466,14   |
| 76,535     | 2029         | <sup>#</sup> 3 Main H                | 115,767                  | 65.00%    | 35.00%   | 0.00%           | 0.00%       | 100.00%       | 75,248                    | 40,518            |                | -           | 115,76   |
| 122,457    | 2028         | <sup>#</sup> 4 Main B                | 179,832                  | 61.00%    | 39.00%   | 0.00%           | 0.00%       | 100.00%       | 109,697                   | 70,134            |                |             | 179,83   |
| 443,905    | 2027         | <sup>r</sup> 5 West Interceptor      | 632,903                  | 55.00%    | 45.00%   | 0.00%           | 0.00%       | 100.00%       | 348,097                   | 284,806           | -              |             | 632,90   |
| 321,449    | 2025         | 6 North Interceptor                  | 432,000                  | 47.00%    | 53.00%   | 0.00%           | 0.00%       | 100.00%       | 203,040                   | 228,960           |                | - 200 - 10  | 432,00   |
| 505,134    | 2031         | 7 West Interceptor                   | 810,591                  | 73.00%    | 27.00%   | 0.00%           | 0.00%       | 100.00%       | 591,732                   | 218,860           |                | -           | 810,59   |
| 183,685    | 2032         | 8 West Interceptor                   | 303,603                  | 85.00%    | 15.00%   | 0.00%           | 0.00%       | 100.00%       | 258,063                   | 45,540            | -              | -           | 303,60   |
|            |              | <sup>6</sup> 9                       | -                        |           |  |                 |             |               | Contraction of the        | -                 |                | -           |          |
|            |              | P10                                  | -                        |           |  |                 | 1. S. M. M. |               |                           | 1005 400          | -              |             |          |
| 3,336,943  |              | "Subtotal                            | 4,502,413                | 51.50%    | 48.50%   | 0.00%           | 0.00%       | 100.00%       | 2,318,653                 | 2,183,760         |                | · · ·       | 4,502,41 |
|            |              | Wastewater Pump Station Improvements |                          |           |  |                 |             |               | 11.25                     |                   |                |             |          |
|            |              | 1                                    | r -                      |           |  |                 |             |               |                           |                   |                | -           | -        |
|            |              | 2                                    | -                        |           |  |                 |             |               |                           | -                 | -              |             |          |
|            |              | 3                                    | r -                      |           |  |                 |             |               |                           | 1.1.1.2.1         | -              | -           |          |
|            |              | 4                                    | r -                      |           |  |                 |             |               |                           |                   | 1200           |             |          |
|            |              | 5                                    | r -                      |           |  |                 |             |               |                           | 1.13.20414        |                |             | less de- |
|            |              | 6                                    | r -                      |           |  | 1.11            |             |               |                           | -                 |                | 1.1.1.1     | -        |
|            |              | 7                                    | r -                      |           |  |                 |             |               | -                         | -                 | 245 141        |             | -        |
|            |              | 8                                    | -                        |           |  |                 |             |               | -                         | -                 | -              | -           |          |
|            |              | 5                                    | r -                      | and the s |  |                 |             |               | -                         |                   |                |             | -        |
|            |              | 10                                   | -                        |           |  | 1 A 1 1 1 1 1 1 |             |               | -                         |                   |                | -           | -        |
|            |              | Subtotal                             | -                        |           |  |                 |             |               | -                         | -                 |                | -           | -        |

2015 Wastewater SDC Methodology Update 12

|            |              | Allocation of Recomme                            | and ad Mactaur           |                       | velopment Cha       |                         |                        | Projected Fun |                       |                     |   |                                       |            |
|------------|--------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------|
|            | -            | Allocation of Recomme                            | ended wastew.            | ster conection        |                     |                         |                        | rojected run  | ung sources           |                     |   |                                       |            |
| Cost in FY | Construction |  |                          |                       | 1                   | Inding Source -         |                        |               |                       |                     | nding Source -                              |                                       |            |
| 2015       | Fiscal Year  | Project  | Future Cost <sup>2</sup> | Existing<br>Customers | Future<br>Customers | Benefited<br>Properties | Contributed<br>Capital | Total         | Existing<br>Customers | Future<br>Customers | Benefited<br>Properties                     | Contributed<br>Capital                | Total      |
|            |              | Treatment System Capacity Upgrades               |                          |                       |                     |                         |                        |               |                       |                     |   |                                       | ET CAL     |
| 489,827    | 2021         | 1 Influent Pump Station                          | 584.879                  | 48.00%                | 52.00%              | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 280,742               | 304,137             | -   | -                                     | 584.8      |
| 1,515,401  | 2021         | 2 Effluent Pump Station                          | 1,809,468                | 79.00%                | 21.00%              | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 1,429,480             | 379,988             | and the second                              | -                                     | 1,809,4    |
| 30,614     | 2021         | " 3 Sludge Disposal (Yearly)                     | 36,555                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 36,555                |                     | -   | -                                     | 36,5       |
| 1,500,094  | 2027         | 4 Clarifiers                                     | 2,138,775                | 0.00%                 | 100.00%             | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | -                     | 2.138.775           |   |                                       | 2,138,7    |
| 137,764    | 2031         | 5 Effluent Pump Station                          | 221,071                  | 50.00%                | 50.00%              | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 110,535               | 110,535             |   | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 221,0      |
| 30,614     | 2033         | 6 Influent Flow Meter                            | 52,118                   | 51.00%                | 49.00%              | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 26,580                | 25,538              | 0.000                                       | -                                     | 52,1       |
| 61,228     | 2035         | 7 UV Disinfection                                | 110,585                  | 67.00%                | 33.00%              | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 74,092                | 36,493              | 14-15 ( A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A |                                       | 110,5      |
| 01,220     | 2000         | r 8  | -                        |                       |                     |                         |                        |               |                       |                     |   |                                       |            |
|            |              | r 9  | · .                      |                       |                     |                         |                        |               |                       |                     |   |                                       |            |
|            |              | 10   | · -                      |                       | 1.1                 | 1.00                    |                        |               |                       |                     | -   | -                                     |            |
| 3,765,542  |              | Subtotal   | 4,953,451                | 39.53%                | 60.47%              | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 1,957,984             | 2,995,467           |   | -                                     | 4,953,4    |
|            |              | Operation, Maintenance, and Replacement Projects |                          |                       |                     |                         |                        |               | 1.018 5.3             |                     |   |                                       |            |
| 150,000    | 2016         | 1 Dredge Biosolid Lagoon (East)                  | 154,500                  | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 154,500               |                     |   |                                       | 154,5      |
|            |              |  |                          |                       |                     | 0.00%                   |                        | 100.00%       |                       | -                   |   |                                       |            |
| 10,000     | 2016         | 2 Vactor Truck Maintenance (Ann.)                | 10,300                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.000                   | 0.00%                  |               | 10,300                |                     |   | -                                     | 10,3       |
| 60,000     | 2016         | 3 UV Controls Upgrade                            | 61,800                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 61,800                |                     |   |                                       | 61,8       |
| 200,000    | 2016         | 4 WWTP Facility Master Plan                      | 206,000                  | 0.00%                 | 100.00%             | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       |                       | 206,000             |   | 1                                     | 206,0      |
| 300,000    | 2017         | 5 Dredge Biosolid Lagoon (West)                  | 318,270                  | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 318,270               |                     |   |                                       | 318,2      |
| 250,000    | 2017         | 6 Springlake Park Lift Station Upgrade           | 265,225                  | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 265,225               | -                   | -   | 10 m                                  | 265,2      |
| 25,000     | 2017         | 7 Smith Road Flow Meter                          | 26,523                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 26,523                | -                   |   | 7                                     | 26,5       |
| 30,000     | 2017         | 8 Vactor Truck Dump Station                      | 31,827                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 31,827                | -                   |   | -                                     | 31,8       |
| 20,000     | 2018         | 9 PLC/SCADA Upgrade                              | 21,855                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 21,855                |                     |   |                                       | 21,1       |
| 1,000,000  | 2018         | 10 Sludge Dewatering                             | 1,092,727                | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 1,092,727             | -                   | 100   | -                                     | 1,092,     |
| 20,000     | 2018         | 11 Mower Replacement                             | 21,855                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 21,855                | -                   | -   |                                       | 21,1       |
| 25,000     | 2019         | 12 Vehicle Purchase                              | 28,138                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 28,138                | -                   |   | -                                     | 28,        |
| 25,000     | 2019         | 13 Clarifier Weir Replacement                    | 28,138                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 28,138                | -                   | 100 C                                       | -                                     | 28,:       |
| 60,000     | 2021         | 14 Waste Pump Upgrade                            | 71,643                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 71,643                |                     |   |                                       | 71,0       |
| 20,000     | 2021         | 15 Filter Pump Replacement                       | 23,881                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 23,881                |                     | 1   | -                                     | 23,        |
| 30,000     | 2021         | 16 Aerator Replacement                           | 35,822                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 35,822                |                     |   |                                       | 35,1       |
| 10,000     | 2021         | 17 Filter Cloth Replacement                      | 11,941                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 11,941                | 1.1.1               |   |                                       | 11,        |
| 250,000    | 2020         | 18 Effluent Generator                            | 289,819                  | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 289,819               | -                   | -   |                                       | 289,       |
| 50,000     | 2021         | 19 Effluent By-Pass                              | 59,703                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 59,703                |                     | -   | -                                     | 59,        |
| 60,000     | 2026         | 20 Filter Cloth Expansion                        | 83,054                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 83,054                | -                   |   | -                                     | 83,        |
| 250,000    | 2026         | 21 Smith Road Force Main                         | 346,058                  | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 346,058               | -                   | -   | - 10 m                                | 346,       |
| 90,000     | 2026         | 22 Springlake Force Main                         | 124,581                  | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 124,581               | -                   | -   | - 1                                   | 124,       |
| 30,000     | 2026         | 23 <sup>F</sup> ilter Pump Expansion             | 41,527                   | 100.00%               | 0.00%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 41,527                | -                   |   | -                                     | 41,        |
|            |              | 24<br>25   | - 1                      |                       |                     |                         |                        |               |                       |                     |   | Contraction of                        | 100        |
| 2,965,000  |              | Subtotal   | 3,355,184                | 93.86%                | 6.14%               | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | 3,149,184             | 206,000             | -   | -                                     | 3,355,     |
| 10,067,485 |              | Wastewater System Facility Plan Total            | \$ 12,811,048            | 57.96%                | 42.04%              | 0.00%                   | 0.00%                  | 100.00%       | \$ 7,425,821          | \$ 5,385,227        | s -   | s -                                   | \$ 12,811, |

<sup>1</sup> Source: City of Scappoose, Wastewater Capital Improvement Plan Update 2015 <sup>2</sup> Inflation Rate 3.00% . .

2015 Wastewater SDC Methodology Update 13

# Wastewater Improvement Fee Calculations

| City of Scappoose, Oregon<br>System Development Study - 2015<br>Wastewater Improvement Fee Calculations  |              |              |            |             |               |  |  |  |
|--|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|--|--|--|
|  | Existing     | Future       | Benefited  | Contributed |               |  |  |  |
|  | Customers    | Customers    | Properties | Capital     | Total         |  |  |  |
| Future Projects Cost Category:   |              |              |            |             |               |  |  |  |
| Collection System Piping Upgrades  | 2,318,653    | 2,183,760    | -          | -           | 4,502,413     |  |  |  |
| Wastewater Pump Station Improvements   | -            |              | -          | -           | -             |  |  |  |
| Treatment System Capacity Upgrades   | 1,957,984    | 2,995,467    | -          | -           | 4,953,451     |  |  |  |
| Operation, Maintenance, and Replacement Projects   | 3,149,184    | 206,000      | -          | -           | 3,355,184     |  |  |  |
| Total - \$   | \$ 7,425,821 | \$ 5,385,227 | \$ -       | \$ -        | \$ 12,811,048 |  |  |  |
| Total - %  | 57.96%       | 42.04%       | 0.00%      | 0.00%       | 100.00%       |  |  |  |
| Future project costs planned to serve growth<br>less: Wastewater SDC fund balance @ June 30, 2015<br>Adjusted future porject costs planned to serve grov |              | 616,990      |            |             |               |  |  |  |
| Estimated EDU additions  |              | 1,465        |            |             |               |  |  |  |
| Calculated improvement fee - \$/Equivalent Dwelling Unit   | : (EDU)      | \$ 3,255     |            |             |               |  |  |  |

## Proposed Schedule of Wastewater SDCs

Г

| Comparison of Current and<br>For a Standa | ity of Scappoos<br>d Proposed Wa<br>ard Residentia<br>ater SDC Upda | stewater S<br>I 3/4" Mete |         | Fee Type |            |       |
|---|---|---------------------------|---------|----------|------------|-------|
| Line Item Description                     | Pro   | oposed                    | Current |          | Difference |       |
| Reimbursement Element                     | \$  | 801                       | \$      | -        | \$         | 801   |
| Improvement Element                       |   | 3,255                     |         | 2,367    |            | 888   |
| Administration @ 5%                       |   | 203                       |         | 118      |            | 84    |
| Total Wastewater SDC                      | \$  | 4,259                     | \$      | 2,486    | \$         | 1,773 |

|            | Schedule of | Proposed Reside | City of Scappoose<br>ntial Wastewater S<br>water SDC Update |                 | ent Charges      |         |
|------------|-------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|---------|
|            | AWWA Rated  | Flow Factor     | Pr  | oposed Schedule | of Wastewater SD | Cs      |
| Meter Size | Flow (GPM)* | Equivalence     | Reimbursement   | Improvement     | Administration   | Total   |
| 0.75 Inch  | 30          | 1.00            | 801   | 3,255           | 203              | 4,259   |
| 1.00 inch  | 50          | 1.67            | 1,335   | 5,425           | 338              | 7,098   |
| 1.50 inch  | 100         | 3.33            | 2,670   | 10,849          | 676              | 14,195  |
| 2.00 inch  | 160         | 5.33            | 4,272   | 17,359          | 1,082            | 22,712  |
| 3.00 inch  | 320         | 10.67           | 8,544   | 34,718          | 2,163            | 45,424  |
| 4.00 inch  | 500         | 16.67           | 13,349  | 54,246          | 3,380            | 70,975  |
| 6.00 inch  | 1000        | 33.33           | 26,699  | 108,492         | 6,760            | 141,951 |
| 8.00 inch  | 1600        | 53.33           | 42,718  | 173,588         | 10,815           | 227,121 |

\* Recommended maximum rate for continuous operations; per American Water Works Association standards effective January 1, 2003 for cold water meters- displacement type, bronze main case. ANSI approval October 11, 2002. American Water Works Association ANSI/AWWA C700-02 (Revision of ANSI/AWWA C700-95).